

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITEO STATES DEHARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 88x 1930 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usph.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,463	02/24/2005	Moon-Shik Kang	21C-0187	5352
23413 CANTOR CO	7590 01/18/2007 CRURN LLP		EXAMINER	
55 GRIFFIN R	OAD SOUTH		CABUCOS, MARIE G	
BLOOMFIEL	.D, CT 06002		· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2163	
				•
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	01/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	ontrol No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
	10/525,463	KANG, MOON-SHIK		
	,	Art Unit		
	Marie Cabucos	2163		
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC				

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brie	of Request for Review filed Nov. 20, 2006.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Requestor(s):</li> </ol>	lest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not include	been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. reasons why a review is appropriate. cluded with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from unication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains under a is required to submit an appeal brief in brief will be reset to be one month fro running from the receipt of the notice	ppeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal im mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the FR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
The panel has determined the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	ne status of the claim(s) is as follows: eration:
	ference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of n on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	erence has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office on is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) Marie Cabucos.	(3) <u>Eddie C. Lee</u> .
(2) <u>Tim Vo</u> .	(4)